



# The Nature of the Incarnate Logos

Written by: His Holiness Pope Shenouda III  
117th Pope of Alexandria and Patriarch of See of St Mark



The Lord Jesus Christ is God Himself, the Incarnate Logos who took to Himself a perfect manhood. His Divine nature is one with His human nature yet without mingling, confusion or alteration; a complete Hypostatic Union. Words are inadequate to describe this

union. It was said, that without controversy, *“Great is the mystery of godliness, God was manifest in the flesh.”* (1 Timothy 3:16)

As this union is permanent, never divided nor separated, we say in the Divine Liturgy that His Godhead never departed from His manhood for a single moment nor even for a twinkle of an eye.

The Divine nature (God the Word) was united with the human nature that He took of the Virgin Mary by the action of the Holy Spirit. The Holy Spirit purified and sanctified the Virgin’s womb so that the Child to whom she gave birth would inherit nothing of the original sin; the flesh formed of her blood was united with the Only Begotten Son. This unity took place from the first moment of the Holy Pregnancy in the Virgin’s womb.

**As a result of the unity of both natures—the Divine and the human—inside the Virgin’s womb, one nature was formed out of both: “The One Nature of God the Incarnate Logos” as St Cyril called it.**

The Holy Church did not find an expression more reliable, deep and precise than that which was used by St Cyril the Great, and which St Athanasius the Apostolic used before him. Both were true leaders in the theological field worldwide.

When I participated in the dialogue arranged by the Pro-Orient group in Vienna, Austria in September 1971 between the Roman Catholic Church and the ancient Oriental Orthodox Churches concerning the Nature of the Lord Jesus Christ, the point of discussion was St Cyril’s expression “One Nature of God the Incarnate Logos.”

After the schism which took place in the year 451 AD, when the Coptic Church rejected the motions of the Council of Chalcedon and its theological struggles, we were called “Monophysites” that is, those who believe in the “One Nature.”

Sharing our belief are the Syrians, the Armenians, the Ethiopians, and the Indians; who were also called “Non-Chalcedonian” Orthodox Churches.

On the other hand, the Chalcedonian Catholic and Greek Churches “The Roman Orthodox” believe in the two natures of the Lord Jesus Christ; the Protestant Churches also hold this belief. Consequently, these churches are known as “Diophysites” or believers in the two natures of the Lord Jesus Christ.

The Roman or Chalcedonian Orthodox Churches include those of Constantinople, Greece, Cyprus, Russia, Romania, Hungary and Serbia as well as the Roman Orthodox Churches of Egypt, Syria, Lebanon, America and the St Catherine Monastery in the Sinai desert.

The term “Monophysites” used for the believers in the One Nature has been intentionally or unintentionally misinterpreted throughout certain periods of history. Consequently, the Coptic and the Syrian Churches in particular were cruelly persecuted because of their belief, especially during the period, which started from the Council of Chalcedon, held in 451 AD and continued to the conquest of the Arabs in Egypt and Syria (about 641 AD).




**This misinterpretation continued along history as though we believed in one nature of the Lord Jesus Christ and denied the other. We wonder which of the two natures the Church of Alexandria denies?**

Is it the Divine nature? Certainly not, for our Church was the most fervent defender against the Arian heresy in the Council of Nicea, held in the year 325 AD as well as before and after that. Or is it the Lord's human nature that the Church of Alexandria denies? St Athanasius of Alexandria resolved this entirely in the oldest and greatest book on this subject, **The Incarnation of the Word.**

**This expression “One Nature” does not indicate the Divine nature alone or the human nature alone, but it indicates the unity of both natures into One Nature that is “The Nature of the Incarnate Logos.” St Cyril the Great taught us not to talk about the two natures after their unity.**

The same applies when we speak about our human nature, which comprises two united natures: the soul and the body. Thus, man's nature is neither the soul alone nor the body alone, but their union in one nature called human nature.

So we can say that the Divine nature united hypostatically with the human nature within the Virgin's womb, but after this unity we do not ever speak again about the two natures of the Lord Jesus Christ. In fact, the expression “two natures” implies in itself division or separation, and although those who believe in the “two natures” admit unity, the tone of separation was obvious in the Council of Chalcedon—a matter that prompted us to reject the Council and caused exile of St Dioscorus of Alexandria.



***St Athanasius the Apostolic  
“At the Council of Nicea.”***