"Satan himself transforms himself into an angel of light" (2Corinth.11: 14)

+ Lecture 5: Postmodernism & Social construction +

I) The Postmodern views:

     Postmodernism denies that there is a single true way that things are. For example, when one person says that a painting is good, and another says the same painting is no good, we are not surprised, because we are familiar with the idea that beauty is in the eye of the beholder. Therefore there is no single true answer to the question, is this painting beautiful? There are as many answers as there are beholders, and no one has the exclusive truth. Postmodernism applies this thinking to morality. One person thinks that a particular action is wrong, another thinks that the same action isn't wrong and we shouldn't be surprised, they say. Just as with beauty, so with morality. There is no single true answer; no one has the exclusive truth. There is no single true answer to questions of right and wrong, just many perspectives.

     The same is applied to religion. There is no one single truth about God or the Divine. There are many different perspectives and no one can say that they have the exclusive truth. In science also, they say that there is no single way of looking at the natural world. There are different theories and examples, and no one has the right to say that one is the single true way things are. There is no single true account of the world, just many perspectives.

     The same thing can be applied to any area of life. In history there is no single way the world was, just many perspectives. In literatures there is no single meaning of a text, just many interpretations and a similar story can be given in politics, economics and so on. Postmodernism takes this approach in all areas; there is no single true way things really are, or at least we are not justified in taking a view as being the single true way things really are.

II) Postmodernism and religion:

     Recently, sociologists have shown that 95% of adults believe in God or a universal spirit. While people are still interested in spiritual things today, the kind of spirituality means mystical experience not truth. We can seek and savor any experience we please, as long as we remain inclusive and tolerant.

     Not long ago, intolerance meant persecuting practitioners of other religions. Not any more. Now, intolerance means questioning the validity of any aspect of another's religion. To the majority of Americans below fifty today, questioning the truthfulness of another's religious views is intolerant and morally offensive. This prohibition against differing with other's viewpoints is Postmodern.

     Strangely, it turns out that one exception is allowed to this universal prohibition against intolerance. For some reason it's okay to question and even denounce religious views when dealing with what is detractingly labeled 'fundamentalism'. Today, when people refer to 'fundamentalists' they no longer just mean Muslim extremists waging holy war and terrorist attacks against the west. Today, fundamentalism may refer to those who believe religious teachings are either true or false, to anyone who claims to know the truth or who charges another religion with falsehood. According to Postmodernists, champions of Orthodoxy like Athanasius and Cyril of Alexandria are criticized for having one-sided views and for unwilling to listen to their adversaries with sympathy!
III) Social Construction:

There is an interesting relation between postmodernism and social construction. For example, when it is said that religious truth is a social construct then by challenging the truth claims of another's religion, we are considered devaluing the person who is the source of his or her own truth.

Another example of social construction is homosexuality. They say that homosexuality is not accepted because society has decided that it is wrong so if the society changes its mind and decides that it is acceptable then homosexuality will no longer be wrong.

Social construction leads to 'normalization' of sin by giving it new names. For example fornication is now called premarital sex, adultery is only cheating and heretics are now called Churches.

IV) Consequences:

The immediate consequence of the postmodern view of right and wrong is 'moral relativism'. There does not exist any universal moral law or value, any moral law or value is relative to a person’s own narrative or narratives taught by the community where the person lives in. Therefore, we have the right to do whatever we desire. No one has the right to judge our actions. Morality has become a matter of desire instead of truth.

This false understanding of right and wrong as being a social construction process and that it is the culture that shapes the individual has led to minimization of the responsibility of the postmodern person for his / her actions. It is not the individual but the society or the culture to blame for a 'wrong' action.

V) Argument against Postmodernism:

Postmodernism is probably the most dangerous among the philosophies that were discussed in this convention because it hides itself behind famous Christians virtues like 'loving your enemies' and 'not judging others'. Postmodernism should remind us with the words of Christ, "Beware of false prophets who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravenous wolves" (Matt.7: 15). If all truth-claims were relative, then what about the postmodern standards themselves? If all morals are a result of social construction and therefore relative, what about the values of freedom, peace, and tolerance upon which the postmodern argument is based?

We can agree with the postmodernists that language does affect how we understand or interpret our experience of this world and ourselves and that different individuals and cultures at different times may have different interpretations but the fact that we may have different interpretations or understandings of an event or a reality does not imply the this event or reality does not exist. For example, although two people who observe an action from two different perspectives may have different interpretations to this action, this doesn’t imply that there is no absolute truth to evaluate this action. In other words, relativism is not a necessary logical consequence of different interpretations.

In short, the fact that our understanding of reality may be shaped by our language and culture has no logical implication in the existence of an absolute reality. Not everything that we know is relative and constructed by the society or ourselves.
VI) Religious Truths:

There are many Bible verses that can have several correct interpretations. For example, in the introduction a verse like “a man’s enemies will be those of his own household” (Matt.10: 36) could be literally applied to the days of persecution or interpreted in a spiritual manner to refer to the heart.

On the other hand a verse like “This is My body which is given for you” (Lk.22: 19) obviously has only one correct interpretation, namely that the Eucharist is the true body of Christ. Some people have their own different interpretation and say the Eucharist is merely a symbol of the body. It is not the time to refute their heresy but we will just say that if the whole world agrees that Eucharist is symbolic this will not change the absolute fact that Eucharist is not symbolic.

The point is that religious truths are not dependent on how people interpret the Bible. Religious truths are either right or wrong. Let no one deceive you, doctrines and dogmas are not relative to human interpretation.

VII) Moral Truths:

Coptic youths living in the West are especially vulnerable to postmodern views of right and wrong because they hear about morality from their parents and the priests in Church. Since most parents and priests were not raised up in the West, the youths are tempted to think that it is the cultural differences that are causing the parents and the Church to refuse certain actions and that these actions are not really wrong in themselves. This reasoning is not always true in fact sometimes it is always wrong.

Sin is always sinful whether in Egypt, Zimbabwe, or Alaska. God is the same and His rules don’t change according to our perception of them. It might be true that the conservative culture of Egypt helps enforce these Truths, but by no means this culture is the source of these Divine Truths.

VIII) “God divided the light from the darkness” (Gen.1: 4):

What is Faith? Faith is like a coin with two sides. For example, believing that the Eucharist is the true body of Christ is one side of the coin the other side is to believe that anything else is wrong. Believing that purity and holiness are the way we should live is one side of the coin the other side is to believe that any other lifestyle is wrong.

Therefore, it is crucial that parents, priests, and Sunday school servants emphasize both aspects of the faith. It is wrong to talk about one side and neglect the other. Don’t be intimidated by people telling you ‘you should not judge others’ when you talk about believing that a certain action or belief is wrong. Just remember the words of Christ, “Blessed are you when they say all kinds of evil against you falsely for My sake. Rejoice and be exceedingly glad, for great is your reward in heaven” (Matt.5: 11).